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**Introduction**

Credit Suisse International ("CS") administers a number of indices that it considers to be "benchmarks" within the scope of Regulation (EU) 2016/1011 on indices used as benchmarks in financial instruments and financial contracts or to measure the performance of investment funds (the "EU BMR").

CS has grouped the benchmarks that it administers into a number of “families” for the purposes of applying certain provisions of the EU BMR. This document constitutes the “Benchmark Statement” under Article 27 of the EU BMR in respect of CS’s “FX” family of benchmarks (each benchmark in such family, an “FX Benchmark”) and provides the information required by the EU BMR to be included in such statement. The methodology of each FX Benchmark is set out in the relevant Index Rules applicable to such FX Benchmark and such Index Rules are available to CS (acting in other capacities), its affiliates, persons who have entered into licencing agreements to use the relevant FX Benchmark and, any other person to whom the administrator is required to make such Index Rules available to under the EU BMR. Licenced users of an FX Benchmark, their counterparties and investors should carefully read and consider the relevant Index Rules prior to making any decision to use such FX Benchmark or to investing in products referencing such FX Benchmark.

Any person who has entered into a licencing agreement to use a CS administered benchmark and any of its respective counterparties and investors (including prospective counterparties and investors) can contact CS in writing at its principal office at 1 Cabot Square, Canary Wharf, London E14 4QJ, United Kingdom, Attention: Benchmark Administration Manager if they are unsure whether the benchmark they are using (or wants to potentially use) is an FX Benchmark (for which this document is relevant) or is a benchmark belonging to another CS family of benchmarks.

Capitalised terms used in this Benchmark Statement, which are not otherwise defined, shall have the same meaning as set out in the Appendix hereto ("Definitions for key terms relating to the FX Benchmarks").
1. **ISINs**
   There are currently no ISINs allocated to any of the FX Benchmarks.

2. **Contributions of Input Data**
   None of the FX Benchmarks are determined using Contributions of Input Data.

3. **Economic reality measured by the FX Benchmarks**
   Each FX Benchmark is designed to measure the performance of an investment strategy that provides exposure to a notional portfolio comprised of FX Instruments systematically rebalanced in accordance with predefined rules. Particular FX Benchmarks may also be subject to a volatility control mechanism, which is designed to systematically adjust the allocation to the notional portfolio of Fixed Income Instruments to target a specified level of volatility, according to predefined rules.

   The types of FX Instruments that may comprise the portfolio from time to time are set out in the relevant Index Rules and may mean that (i) the portfolio would be linked to specified markets or specified geographical boundaries or may be global and (ii) the instruments in the portfolio provide long only, short only or both long and short exposures to FX. Please refer to the Index Rules for the relevant FX Benchmark for a description of the geographical boundaries of the economic reality measured by such FX Benchmark and whether the economic reality consists of long only, short only or long and short positions.

   In addition, particular FX Benchmarks may include features that use non-FX Instruments Input Data, including but not limited to interest rates. These features do not alter the economic reality measured by the FX Benchmark but provide a variation in the manner in which such economic reality is measured. For example, measuring a leveraged exposure to the portfolio of FX Instruments or a different type of performance.

4. **Definitions of Key Terms**
   The definitions for all key terms relating to each FX Benchmark covered by this Benchmark Statement are provided in the Appendix to this document.

5. **Methodology**
   The FX Benchmarks are rules-based indices developed by CS that are designed to implement, and measure the performance of a particular investment strategy. The exercise of discretion is limited to exceptional circumstances relating to market disruption or market changes outside the control of CS. The rationale for adopting the methodology of each FX Benchmark is to create a measure of the performance of a specific, systematically rebalanced, notional portfolio and to enable investors to take a synthetic exposure to such notional portfolios when investing in products linked to the FX Benchmark.

   The methodology of each FX Benchmark and of each new benchmark to be added as an FX Benchmark, is subject to the approval by CS's Benchmark Governance Committee (or any successor in such role) ("BGC") in accordance with a formalised internal process to ensure ongoing compliance with the requirements of the EU BMR. This internal process stipulates that the BGC is provided with the details of the new benchmark, including (but not limited to) the investment strategy, operational risks and control framework for the new benchmark, its index rules and risk disclosures, an assessment of the sufficiency, reliability and verifiability of the Input Data.

   The BGC members include representatives of CS's index calculation team, product structuring team, general counsel division and compliance division.
The methodology of each FX Benchmark is subject to an annual review by the BGC to determine if any recent events would have impacted the quality or integrity of the relevant FX Benchmark methodology. Reviews may also be conducted on an ad hoc basis where the BGC considers it desirable or necessary to do so, including in response to specific events or otherwise. Specific events include (without limitation) any index errors, index disruptions, changes in the applicable legal or regulatory environment; any feedback from stakeholders, challenges, complaints; and/or any material audit findings or findings or recommendations from CS’s Benchmark Oversight Committee (or any successor in such role).

6. **Criteria and procedures used to determine the FX Benchmark Level**

The FX Benchmarks are rules-based indices and are designed not to rely on Expert Judgement or discretionary determinations in ordinary circumstances. Each FX Benchmark is determined by CS collecting the Input Data necessary for such determination and specified in the relevant methodology and applying the rules prescribed by the methodology to calculate the performance of the relevant notional portfolio. The calculated performance may be subject to further predefined and transparent adjustments, which may include (but are not limited to) deduction of fees, costs associated with currency hedges included in the portfolio, currency conversions and costs associated with notional borrowing in relation to leveraged portfolios.

The FX Instruments that comprise the relevant notional portfolio from time to time are determined periodically on the basis of pre-defined rules set out in the relevant Index Rules. Once the FX Instruments that comprise the relevant notional portfolio at the relevant time are determined, their weightings from time to time are determined based on pre-defined rules set out in the relevant Index Rules.

7. **Input Data**

The Input Data for the majority of FX Benchmarks comprises only externally sourced Input Data. In a limited number of FX Benchmarks some Input Data may be internally sourced. Externally sourced Input Data include:

(a) transaction data i.e. executed prices relating to certain FX Instruments traded on exchanges;
(b) committed quotes relating to certain FX Instruments traded on exchanges;
(c) in relation to interest rates and foreign exchange rates, the published levels of the interest rate or currency benchmarks providing such rates; and
(d) in relation to any indices (whether sponsored or administered by CS, any of its affiliates, or any third party entity), the levels published by the respective administrator or sponsor of such indices.

CS sources the above Input Data from third party data vendors that it considers reputable and does not classify any of the above data as regulated data but classifies it as readily available.

In certain cases an FX Benchmark may use internally sourced Input Data. Where this is the case the relevant Index Rules will indicate when Input Data is internally sourced.

CS may change the Data Sources it uses to source the Input Data from time to time in accordance with CS’s internal governance procedures provided that any new data provider or Data Source meets CS’s requirements.
The Index Rules for each FX Benchmark specify the single Input Data type required to be collected and observed for each relevant component for the determination of such FX Benchmark. If it is impossible to collect and observe the required Input Data type for a component due to market disruption, this would result in lack of sufficient Input Data to determine the FX Benchmark according to the methodology. In such cases CS may use Expert Judgement to estimate relevant values or adjust prices and values or may (i) postpone or suspend the determination of the relevant FX Benchmark or (ii) supplement, amend (in whole or in part), revise, rebalance or withdraw the relevant FX Benchmark.

8. **Insufficient Input Data, Insufficient or Inaccurate Data Sources**

Market disruption may lead to insufficient Input Data and/or insufficient or inaccurate Data Sources.

Events that may lead to market disruption include, (but are not limited to), any change in the manner in which a Data Source calculates or publishes a FX rate, any event that has an adverse effect on the ability of the Index Calculation Agent to determine a FX rate, any suspension of or limitation imposed on trading by any relevant exchange or other trading facility, the closure of any relevant exchange or other trading facility before its scheduled closing time, changes in the liquidity, trading volume, terms or listing of any FX Instrument comprised in the relevant portfolio, the failure of any Data Source to publish the Input Data or the level published is significantly different to the level of such data prevailing in the market.

In addition to the cases of market disruption, other factors that may lead to lack of sufficient Input Data or to Input Data that is not consistent with the assumptions made when such Input Data was selected as the basis for the calculation of the FX Benchmark, or to the Input Data being unreliable include, (but are not limited to): (a) a material change in the content, composition, constitution of, or in the formula for or method of, calculating relevant Input Data, (b) any other event that disrupts or impairs the ability of market participants in general to effect transactions in, or obtain market values for, the relevant instrument, (c) any event that, in the determination of CS, has a material adverse effect on the ability of a market participant to establish, maintain, value, rebalance or unwind a hedge position in relation to an investment product linked to the FX Benchmark, including an FX Instrument comprising the portfolio measured by the FX Benchmark, and (d) any event resulting in a breakdown in any means of communication or a procedure normally used to enable the determination of the FX Benchmark.

The methodology of each FX Benchmark specifies the relevant market disruption or other event that would lead to lack of sufficient Input Data or where the Data Sources may be insufficient, inaccurate or unreliable.

In addition, while CS intends to use well-established and reputable data providers to source its Input Data, there is a risk that this Input Data may be inaccurate, delayed or not up to date. There is also a risk that while the Input Data is accurate, the data feed to CS is impaired.

9. **Extrapolation**

CS may use extrapolation to determine the value of over-the-counter derivatives linked to FX underlyings which have a different tenor to the maturities for which a value is available using externally sourced data. The extrapolation method applies pre-defined formulae to externally sourced Input Data to generate an extrapolated value.
10. **Procedures for determining the FX Benchmark in periods of stress**

In the limited circumstances mentioned above, the BGC will either make the decision or approve the decision on whether to (i) suspend or delay the calculation and publication of the FX Benchmark or (ii) determine the FX Benchmark on the basis of estimated or adjusted data and publish the respective Level or (iii) supplement, amend (in whole or in part), revise, rebalance or withdraw the FX Benchmark. Such decision and approval would be made in accordance with the criteria relating to the exercise of discretion described under “Exercise of discretion” below.

11. **Limitations of the FX Benchmarks**

The market disruption and other events that may lead to the Input Data or Data Sources being insufficient, inaccurate or unreliable or to the Input Data being not consistent with the assumptions made when such Input Data was selected as the basis for the calculation of the FX Benchmark may compromise the reliability of the FX Benchmark.

12. **External and other factors may necessitate changes or cessation of the FX Benchmark**

CS provides notice that factors, including external factors beyond the control of the administrator, may necessitate changes to, or the cessation of, any FX Benchmark.

13. **Exercise of discretion**

CS may exercise discretion to:

(a) determine whether a market disruption or another event has occurred that may lead to the Input Data being insufficient or to such data being not consistent with the assumptions made when such Input Data was selected as the basis for the calculation of the FX Benchmark;

(b) estimate or adjust the level of Input Data when CS has determined that one or more of the above events have occurred;

(c) address other unscheduled events which may make it impossible to calculate the FX Benchmark;

(d) decide whether to (i) estimate or adjust the level of Input Data in the above circumstances or (ii) suspend or delay the calculation and publication of the FX Benchmark or (iii) supplement, amend (in whole or in part), revise, rebalance the FX Benchmark;

(e) decide how to address errors in Input Data or in the determination of the FX Benchmark, including whether to restate the Level of the FX Benchmark in such circumstances;

(f) decide how to address any omissions or ambiguities in the relevant Index Rules; and

(g) decide whether to terminate the Benchmark.

If the need to exercise discretion arises, CS will do so in a way that minimises potential or actual conflicts of interests and will act in good faith and in a commercially reasonable manner consistently with (a) the objective of the FX Benchmark; (b) any previous exercise of discretion in the same or similar instances; and (c) where possible, across FX Benchmarks in relation to the affected asset class or instrument. Where there is a corresponding regulatory obligation, CS shall also take into account whether fair treatment is achieved by the exercise of discretion in accordance with applicable regulatory obligations.
Where CS is entitled to exercise discretion, any such exercise will be either made by the BGC or be subject to the approval of the BGC.

14. **Changes to, or cessation of, an FX Benchmark may impact financial contracts, financial instruments or investment funds that reference that FX Benchmark**

CS may decide to make modifications to the FX Benchmark, or permanently cancel and discontinue calculating and publishing an FX Benchmark (in compliance with applicable law and regulation) at any time.

Changes to, or the cessation of, an FX Benchmark may have an impact on the financial contracts and financial instruments that reference the FX Benchmark or the measurement of the performance of investment funds.

Users should check that the contractual terms of such financial contracts and financial instruments contain appropriate fallback provisions.

15. **Error procedures**

CS has a number of procedures and processes in place which are designed to ensure the accuracy and reliability of its published FX Benchmark Levels. Notwithstanding these procedures and processes, errors in Input Data or in the determination of a Benchmark may sometimes occur. When an error due to the correction by a third party Data Source of Input Data is identified and the impact is below a certain pre-defined threshold, the Level of the FX Benchmark is restated. In all other cases, the restatement of the Level of the FX Benchmark is subject to the approval of the BGC or the chairperson of the BGC. The approval of the BGC is also required in relation to any decision not to restate the Level of the FX Benchmark upon the discovery of any issue or error that would normally give rise to a restatement. All restatements of Benchmarks are reported to the BGC as and when they occur and are then recorded and (if necessary), discussed at the next periodic BGC meeting (usually held monthly).

When determining whether to approve a restatement, the BGC and its members will take into account, among other factors, any applicable FCA conduct rules, CS’s conduct and ethics standards, proportionality and the impact on users.

16. **Classification of benchmarks**

CS has determined that each FX Benchmark is a non-significant benchmark in accordance with Article 3(1)(27) EU BMR.

17. **ESG factors**

For the purposes of Article 27(2)(a) of the EU BMR, as amended by Regulation (EU) 2019/2089 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 November 2019 (the “Low Carbon Benchmark Regulation”), no FX Benchmark pursues environmental, social and governance (“ESG”) objectives.
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Appendix – Definitions for key terms relating to the FX Benchmarks

This Appendix sets out the definitions in relation to the terms which CS considers to be “key terms” for the FX Benchmarks covered by this Benchmark Statement.

The terms defined herein will not necessarily apply to each FX Benchmark within the FX Benchmarks family; nor are these terms intended to be exhaustive in respect of any of the FX Benchmarks, as there may be additional terms relating to (amongst other things) the determination, calculation, disruption, governance, summary description and/or risk factors of any given FX Benchmark which are not included below. In addition, some of these terms may be defined differently within the individual Index Rules, in which case the meaning used in the Index Rules shall prevail. Further reference should therefore also be had to the Index Rules of the relevant FX Benchmark, as may be amended and/or updated by CS from time to time.

Definitions:

“Benchmark” means any index administered by CS which CS has determined that it may be used as a “benchmark” as defined in the EU BMR.

“Benchmark Statement” means the statement referred to under Article 27 of EU BMR.

“Contributions of Input Data” means providing any Input Data not readily available to CS, or to another person for the purposes of passing to CS, that is required in connection with the determination of a Benchmark, and is provided for that purpose.

“Data Source” means the publication, page (or any other origin of reference, including an exchange) containing (or reporting) the prices, levels, rates or other data utilised by CS as Input Data, and to any successor publication, page or source on which the relevant prices, levels, rates or other data may be disseminated.

“FX Instruments” means foreign currency exchange rate fixings ("FX"), FX indices (whether sponsored or administered by CS, any of its affiliates, or any third party entity), listed futures linked to one or more FX underlyings, listed options linked to one or more FX underlyings, or OTC derivatives linked to one or more FX underlyings.

“Expert Judgment” refers to the exercise of discretion by an administrator with respect to the use of data in determining an FX Benchmark. Expert Judgment includes extrapolating values from prior or related transactions, adjusting values for factors that might influence the quality of data, such as market events or impairment of a buyer’s or seller’s credit quality, or weighting firm bids or offers greater than a particular concluded transaction.

“Index Rules” means, in respect of any FX Benchmark, the methodology or rule book for such FX Benchmark.

“Input Data” means the data in respect of the value of one or more underlying assets, or prices, used by CS to determine an FX Benchmark.

“Level” means, in relation to an FX Benchmark, the calculated and announced value of such FX Benchmark.
## Appendix 2 – ESG factors

### EXPLANATION OF HOW ESG FACTORS ARE REFLECTED IN THE BENCHMARK STATEMENT

### SECTION 1 – CONSIDERATION OF ESG FACTORS

| Item 1. | Name of the benchmark administrator. | Credit Suisse International |
| Item 2. | Type of benchmark or family of benchmarks. | FX |
| Item 3. | Name of the benchmark or family of benchmarks. | FX Family of Benchmarks |

**Item 4.** Are there in the portfolio of the benchmark administrator any EU Climate Transition Benchmarks, EU Paris-aligned Benchmarks, benchmarks that pursue ESG objectives or benchmarks that take into account ESG factors?

Yes, within the portfolio of the benchmark administrator there are benchmarks that pursue ESG objectives or benchmarks that take into account ESG factors.

Within the **FX Family of Benchmarks**, there are no EU Climate Transition Benchmarks, EU Paris-aligned Benchmarks, benchmarks that pursue ESG objectives or benchmarks that take into account ESG factors.

| Item 5. | Does the benchmark or family of benchmarks pursue ESG objectives? | No |

**Item 6.** Where the response to Item 5 is positive, provide below the details (score) in relation to the ESG factors listed in Annex II for each family of benchmarks at aggregated level. The ESG factors shall be disclosed at an aggregated weighted average value at the level of the family of benchmarks.

(a) List of combined ESG factors: Not applicable
(b) List of environmental factors: Not applicable
(c) List of social factors: Not applicable
(d) List of governance factors: Not applicable

**Item 7.** Where the response to Item 5 is positive, provide below the details (score) for each benchmark, in relation to the ESG factors listed in Annex II, depending on the relevant underlying asset concerned.

Alternatively, all of this information may be provided in the form of a hyperlink to a website of the benchmark administrator included in the benchmark statement. The information on the website shall be easily available and accessible. Benchmark administrators shall ensure that information published on their website remains available for five years.
The score of the ESG factors shall not be disclosed for each constituent of the benchmark, but shall be disclosed at an aggregated weighted average value of the benchmark.

(a) List of combined ESG factors: Not applicable
(b) List of environmental factors: Not applicable
(c) List of social factors: Not applicable
(d) List of governance factors: Not applicable

**Item 8. Data and standards used**

(a) Description of data sources used to provide information on the ESG factors in the benchmark statement.

Describe how the data used to provide information on the ESG factors in the benchmark statement are sourced and whether, and to what extent, data are estimated or reported. Not applicable

(b) Reference standards.

List the supporting standards used for the reporting under item 6 and/or item 7. Not applicable

**SECTION 2 – ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS FOR EU CLIMATE TRANSITION AND EU PARIS-ALIGNED BENCHMARKS**

**Item 9.** Where a benchmark is labelled as ‘EU Climate Transition Benchmark’ or ‘EU Paris-aligned Benchmark’, benchmark administrators shall also disclose the following information: Not Applicable

(a) Forward-looking year-on-year decarbonisation trajectory; Not applicable

(b) Degree to which the IPCC decarbonisation trajectory (1.5 °C with no or limited overshoot) has been achieved on average per year since creation; Not applicable

(c) Overlap between those benchmarks and their investable universe, as defined in Article 1, point (e), of Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2020/1818 (1), using the active share at asset level. Not applicable

---

### SECTION 3 – DISCLOSURE OF THE ALIGNMENT WITH THE OBJECTIVES OF THE PARIS AGREEMENT

**Item 10.** By the date of application of this Regulation, for significant equity and bond benchmarks, EU Climate Transition Benchmarks and EU Paris-aligned Benchmarks, benchmark administrators shall also disclose the following information.

By 31 December 2021, benchmark administrators shall, for each benchmark or, where applicable, each family of benchmarks, disclose the following information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) Does the benchmark align with the target of reducing carbon emissions or the attainment of the objectives of the Paris Agreement;</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) The temperature scenario, in accordance with international standards, used for the alignment with the target of reducing GHG emissions or attaining of the objectives of the Paris Agreement;</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) The name of the provider of the temperature scenario used for the alignment with the target of reducing GHG emissions or the attainment of the objectives of the Paris Agreement;</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) The methodology used for the measurement of the alignment with the temperature scenario;</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) The hyperlink to the website of the temperature scenario used.</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Date on which information has last been updated and reason for the update:** 21 December 2021

Updated as part of annual review process and to include Section 3 responses

---